

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 VIENNA VA 22182-3817 **MAILED**

FEB 0 8 200

In re Application of: Satoshi Hoshino

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Application Serial No.: 09/895,173

Letter of Clarification

Filed: July 2, 2001

For: ELECTRONIC JOURNAL PREPARATION SYSTEM AND ELECTRONIC JOURNAL

PREPARATION METHOD

This is a letter of clarification regarding the decision mailed November 29, 2005 regarding applicant's petition filed November 10, 2005 and re-filed on November 17, 2005, pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.181 regarding a Notice of Improper Request for Continued Examination (RCE).

Petitioner's comments are well taken. The November 29, 2005 decision indicated that the first response to the final Office action of May 17, 2005 did not constitute a proper submission in accordance with MPEP §706.07(h)(III)(D) given that the August 8, 2005 submission had been considered by the examiner and did not place the application in condition for allowance. Therefore, the August 8, 2005 submission was considered to have been an entered amendment. It was further indicated in the decision mailed November 29, 2005 that the second response to the final Office action of May 17, 2005 did constitute a proper submission and thus the petition to have the Notice of Improper Request for Continued Examination withdrawn, was granted.

However, as pointed out by the petitioner, MPEP §706.07(h) Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Practice, states in part:

II. SUBMISSION REQUIREMENT

A "submission" as used in 37 CFR 1.114 includes, but is not limited to, an information disclosure statement, an amendment to the written description, claims, or drawings, new arguments, or new evidence in support of patentability. See 37 CFR 1.114(c)... The submission, however, may consist of the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief, or may simply consist of a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief. In addition, a previously filed amendment after final (whether or not entered) may satisfy this submission requirement. [emphasis added]

In light of MPEP §706.07(h) excerpted above, applicant's response of August 8, 2005 would constitute a proper response and would satisfy the submission requirement for an RCE.

As indicated in the November 29, 2005 decision the August 31, 2005 submission did not contain a complete listing of claims given the submission of a partial claim 7. However, the examiner will address this issue when reviewing the application. The file will be forwarded to the examiner for action in appropriate turn.

Ewayne Bost

Special Programs Examiner Technology Center 2600

Communications